UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,846	12/15/2005	Kiyoshi Fujii	39088 2071	
52054 PEARNE & GO	7590 02/05/2008	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9T		BOR, HELENE CATHERINE		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND	, OH 44114-3108	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3768	
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/560,846	FUJII, KIYOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Helene Bor	3768	

	Before the Filing of all Appeal Bilei	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Helene Bor	3768	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 26 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 1 th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
حے ٥٠	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or			the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗀	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:	•		
	Claim(s) objected to:	•		
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	•
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [Other:		PRIMAPH	WINAKUR FEXAMINER
	·		7 .	100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner carefully reviewed the arguments presented. The Examiner previously addressed the arguments with respect to the 112 rejection and the window terminology in the Office Action mailed 10/19/2007. In addition, the Examiner addressed the arguments in regards to the Ramamurty and Umemura references in the same Office Action.

The declaration is insufficient because it is unclear what the declaration is implying, whether the declaration is attempting to prove construtive reduction to practice or reduction to practice (MPEP 715.07). If the declaration is attempting to prove constructive reduction to practice, the declaration needs to provide evidence of conception and due diligence. The declaration is insufficient in showing due diligence. The declaration should explicitly point out the due diligence and explain in the statement what was happening due the two week period. If the declaration is directed to proving reduction to practice, laboratory notebooks or laboratory tests need to be supplied to provide evidence the device was reduced to practice.

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).